

**МІНІСТЕРСТВО ОСВІТИ І НАУКИ УКРАЇНИ
НАЦІОНАЛЬНИЙ ПЕДАГОГІЧНИЙ УНІВЕРСИТЕТ
ІМЕНІ М.П. ДРАГОМАНОВА
Факультет філософії та суспільствознавства**

ГУМАНІТАРНИЙ КОРПУС

Випуск 38

**Збірник наукових статей з актуальних проблем
філософії, культурології, історії, психології та педагогіки**

Вінниця
«ТВОРИ»
2021

УДК 159.9+1+37+93](063)
ББК 88я431+87я431+74я431+63я431
Г94

*Рекомендовано до друку Вченою радою Факультету філософії та суспільствознавства
Національного педагогічного університету імені М.П. Драгоманова (протокол № 10 від 21.04.2021 р.)*

Редакційна колегія:

Дробот І.П. – доктор історичних наук, професор, декан факультету філософії та суспільствознавства НПУ імені М.П. Драгоманова, заслужений діяч науки і техніки України

Долінська Л.В. – кандидат психологічних наук, професор, завідувач кафедри психології факультету філософії та суспільствознавства НПУ імені М.П. Драгоманова, заслужений працівник освіти України

Глушко Т.П. – доктор філософських наук, професор кафедри філософії факультету філософії та суспільствознавства НПУ імені М.П. Драгоманова, заступник декана з наукової роботи та міжнародних зв'язків

Череповський М. – голова Студентського наукового товариства факультету філософії та суспільствознавства НПУ імені М.П. Драгоманова, студент

Морозов І.В. – доктор культурології, професор кафедри менеджмента соціокультурної діяльності Білоруського державного університету культури і мистецтв

Меднікова Г.С. – доктор філософських наук, професор кафедри культурології та філософської антропології НПУ імені М.П. Драгоманова

Можейко М.О. – доктор філософських наук, професор, завідувач кафедри філософії та методології гуманітарних наук Білоруського державного університету культури і мистецтв

Політевич О.Е. – кандидат педагогічних наук, доцент кафедри інформаційних ресурсів і комунікацій Білоруського державного університету культури і мистецтв

Приходько Ю.О. – доктор психологічних наук, професор кафедри психології і педагогіки факультету педагогіки та психології НПУ імені М.П. Драгоманова

Синьов В.М. – доктор педагогічних наук, професор, дійсний член НАПН України, почесний декан факультету спеціальної та інклюзивної освіти НПУ імені М.П. Драгоманова, президент Асоціації корекційних педагогів України

Stepaniuk Joanna – Doktor nauk społecznych, Państwowa Uczelnia im. Stefana Batorego w Skierniewicach, Uniwersytet Warszawski

У збірнику подано наукові статті за матеріалами VII Міжнародної науково-практичної конференції «Наукові пошуки: актуальні дослідження, теорія та практика» (26 березня 2021 р.), яка відбулась з використанням сервіса відеоконференції ZOOM.

У працях аспірантів, студентів та молодих вчених з України та Польщі висвітлено актуальні теоретичні та прикладні проблеми психології, філософії, культурології, історії, педагогіки, а також представлено міждисциплінарні пошуки та здобутки.

Рецензенти:

Герчанівська П.Е. – доктор культурології, професор, завідувач кафедри культурології та інформаційних комунікацій Національної академії керівних кадрів культури та мистецтв

Розова Т.В. – доктор філософських наук, професор, завідувач кафедри культурології, мистецтвознавства та філософії культури Одеського національного полтехнічного університету

Упорядники:

Русаків С.С. – кандидат філософських наук, доцент кафедри культурології та філософської антропології НПУ імені М.П. Драгоманова

Можгин Віктор – міжфакультетські кандидатські культурологічні студії, Факультет міжнародних і політичних досліджень, Ягеллонський університет в Кракові

За точність викладених фактів та коректність цитування відповідальність несе автор

Гуманітарний корпус: [збірник наукових статей з актуальних проблем філософії, культурології, психології, педагогіки та історії] – Випуск 38. – Вінниця : ТОВ «ТВОРИ», 2021. – 172 с.
ISBN 978-966-949-816-8

УДК 159.9+1+37+93](063)
ББК 88я431+87я431+74я431+63я431

ISBN 978-966-949-816-8

© Автори статей, 2021
© Національний педагогічний університет імені М.П. Драгоманова, 2021
© Видавництво «ТВОРИ», 2021

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND SCIENCE OF UKRAINE
M.P. DRAHOMANOV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF PEDAGOGY
Faculty of Philosophy and Social Science

HUMANITARIAN CORPUS

Issue 38

**Collection of scientific articles on contemporary problems of
philosophy, cultural studies, psychology, pedagogy and history**

Vinnytsia
"TVORY"
2021

Members of Scientific Board:

I.I. Drobot, Doctor of Sciences in History, Professor, Dean of the Faculty of Philosophy and Social Science of National Pedagogical Drahomanov University, Meritorious Worker of Science and Engineering of Ukraine

L.V. Dolynska, PhD in Psychology, Professor, Chair of the Psychology Department of Philosophy and Social Science of National Pedagogical Drahomanov University, Meritorious Worker of Education of Ukraine

T.P. Hlushko, Doctor of Sciences in Philosophy, Assistant Dean of the Faculty of Philosophy and Social Science of National Pedagogical Drahomanov University for Academic Matters and International Relations

M. Cherepovsky, Chairman of the Student scientific society, Faculty of Philosophy and Social Science of National Pedagogical Drahomanov University, student

I.V. Morozov, Doctor of Cultural Studies, Professor at the Department of Management of Sociocultural Activities of Belarusian State University of Culture and Arts

H.S. Mednikova, Doctor of Sciences in Philosophy, Professor at the Department of Cultural Studies of Philosophy and Social Science of M.P. Drahomanov National University of Pedagogy

M.A. Mozheiko, Doctor of Sciences in Philosophy, Professor, Chair of the Department of Philosophy and Methodology of Humanities of the Belarusian State University of Culture and Arts

A.E. Palitsevich, PhD in Pedagogy, senior lecturer of the Department of the theory and history of information-document communication of Belarusian State University of Culture and Arts

Y.O. Prykhodko, Doctor of Sciences in Psychology, Professor at the Department of Psychology and Pedagogy of the Faculty of Pedagogy and Psychology of National Pedagogical Drahomanov University, Academician of the Academy of Sciences of the Higher School of Ukraine

V.M. Syniov, Doctor of Sciences in Pedagogy, Professor, full member of the National Academy of Educational Sciences of Ukraine, honorary Dean of the Faculty of special and inclusive education of National Pedagogical Drahomanov University, President of the Association of Correctional Pedagogues of Ukraine

Stepaniuk Joanna – Doktor nauk społecznych, Państwowa Uczelnia im. Stefana Batorego w Skierniewicach, Uniwersytet Warszawski

This collection features scientific articles based on materials of the VII International scientific conference “Scientific research: current research, theory and practice” (March 26, 2020), on the basis the ZOOM video conferencing service.

Works by undergraduate and postgraduate students and young scientists from Ukraine and Poland address contemporary theoretical and applied problems of psychology, philosophy, cultural studies, history and pedagogy, and present interdisciplinary research and findings.

Reviewers:

Herchanivska P.E., Doctor of Sciences in Cultural Studies, Professor, Chair of the Department of Cultural Studies and Information Communications of National Academy of Culture and Arts Management

Rozova T.V., Doctor of Sciences in Philosophy, Professor, Chair of the Cultural Studies, art history and philosophy of culture Department of the Odessa National Polytechnic University

Compiled by:

Rusakov Serhii, PhD in Philosophy, Associate Professor at the Department of Cultural Studies and philosophical anthropology of National Pedagogical Drahomanov University

Możgin Wiktor, mgr, Wydziałowe Kulturoznawcze Studia Doktoranckie, Wydział Studiów Międzynarodowych i Politycznych Uniwersytetu Jagiellońskiego w Krakowie

The author is responsible for the accuracy of the facts and correctness of citation.

Humanistic Corpus: [collection of scientific articles on contemporary problems of philosophy, cultural studies, psychology, pedagogy and history] – Issue 38 – Vinnytsia: TVORY, 2021. – 172 p.

ISBN 978-966-949-816-8

ISBN 978-966-949-816-8

© Article authors, 2021
© National Pedagogical Drahomanov University, 2021
© LCC "TVORY", 2021

system-pieczny-zastepczej-17720793, [data dostępu: 23.02.2021]

3. [Online:] <https://www.arslege.pl/ustawa-o-wspieraniu-rodziny-i-systemie-pieczny-zastepczej/k704/s6254/>, [data dostępu: 23.02.2021]

4. [Online:] http://orka.sejm.gov.pl/proc6.nsf/ustawy/628_u.htm, [data dostępu: 23.02.2021]

5. [Online:] <https://szkolnictwo.pl/index.php?id=PU0857>, E. Polak, Współpraca domu dziecka z rodzinami wychowanka, [data dostępu: 23.02.2021]

Streszczenie:

Artykuł „Wsparcie dla dzieci i rodziny w placówkach opiekuńczo-wychowawczych” przedstawia najważniejsze informacje dotyczące placówek opiekuńczo-wychowawczych typu rodzinnego. Autorka omawia zgodnie z ustawą o wspieraniu rodziny i systemie pieczy zastępczej poszczególne formy pomocy. Analizuje zadania, prawa i obowiązki rodziców oraz wymagania jakie muszą spełnić rodziny zastępcze oraz rodzinne domy dziecka.

Słowa klucze: dziecko, adopcja, rodziny dom dziecka, rodzina zastępcza, opieka, wychowanie.

Abstrakt:

The article “Children and families support in care and educational institutions” the most important information about family-type care and education facilities. In accordance with the Act on supporting the family and the foster care system, the author discusses various forms of assistance. Analyzes the tasks, rights and obligations of parents and the requirements that must be met by foster families and family orphanages.

Key words: child, adoption, families, orphanage, foster family, care, upbringing.

INTEGRAL MODELS OF DIGITAL LEARNING FOR FOREIGN LANGUAGES PROGRAMS

Makhachashvili Rusudan

Doctor Habilitated in Philology,

Head of Romance Languages and Typology Department

Borys Grinchenko Kyiv University

r.makhachashvili@kubg.edu.ua

Semenist Ivan

PhD

Head of Oriental Languages and Translation Department

Borys Grinchenko Kyiv University

i.semenist@kubg.edu.ua

Theoretical problems of complex, holistic, multidimensional modelling of reality and its separate spheres (one of which within the English-speaking linguistics of the XX-XXI centuries is the sphere of development of the latest computer technologies) are directed by the deterministic interaction of objects, signs of their reception and interpretation (in the field of individual and collective consciousness), embodiment, consolidation and retransmission of the results of interaction of these systems of features.

In this regard, the fundamental dimension of being (as synonymous with the latter “reality”, “realm” and “world” can be used) (Aristotle, 2014; Budko, 1990; Kireev, 2008) is defined as a heterogeneous concept that can summarize

the multidimensional features of the world order: the world that really exists and is subject to direct perception; a world that is not subject to direct reception, but exists in reality; a world that is imaginary, unreal (for example the ideal, mythological images); reality, which exists objectively, regardless of human consciousness (nature, objective physical laws of the world order, space-time dimensions); the general way of life of humankind, society, culture, civilization.

Thus, the Computer Being dimension is understood as a fundamental ontological parameter that exists outside the scope of human mind and is involved in the perceptual field regardless of the will of the subject of cognition.

The intellectualization of modern global culture determines a qualitatively new approach to understanding the processes of parallel development of human activities and cognitive (intellectual) experiences. That is the origin and methodological premise of the concept of “Noosphere”. The Noosphere is defined as the current stage of development of the biosphere, associated with the emergence of humanity in it (Kasavin, 1990; Gachev, 1993), and is interpreted as part of the planet and planet ambient with traces of human activity.

The integral real component of the Noosphere is identified as the Technosphere – a set of artificial objects (technologies) created by the humankind, and natural objects changed as a result of technological activity of humankind (Heim, 1993; Makhachashvili, 2020). In turn, Computer Being (computer reality, cyberspace) is a complex, multidimensional, interdisciplinary sphere of synthesis of reality, human experience and activity mediated by the latest digital and information technologies; technogenic reality, a component of the Technosphere of existence.

In the educational sphere, according to our estimations, the result of fundamental Technosphere shift, induced by the COVID-19 pandemic development and enhanced by subsequent digitalization measures, was the need to take quick comprehensive action (Makhachashvili, Semenist, Bakhtina, 2020) in order to achieve such desirable results: a) to adapt the existent complex educational scenarios to digital, remote and blended formats; b) to activate comprehensive complex skillsets, otherwise latent or underutilized in the educational process; c) to boost ICT competence and digital literacy of all participants of the educational process relocated to computer being.

The global pandemic of COVID-19 emerged as a kind of a black swan scenario or a singularity (event horizon) (Tu, 1994) for interdisciplinary domains of social and economic life, including education. The black swan theory is a concept that describes an event that comes as a surprise, has a major effect on society, and is often rationalized after the fact (Taleb, 2010). The structure and procedure of higher education workflow in 2020-2021 were disrupted by a range of challenges, spawned by the global pandemic restriction measures, which influenced significantly the scope of individual experiences, projected outcomes and estimated quality of higher education in countries across the world.

The estimations of digital distant education state-of-the-art, structure and quality, conducted across various studies in the pre-COVID-19 inquiry framework have spanned, among others, assessment of satisfaction with distance learning experience (Bekele, 2010; Bolliger, 2009); added value of online learning (Thiessen, Ambrock, 2011); evaluation of learning outcomes (Costareie, 2011); overall attitudes to distance learning (Salyers et al, 2014); challenges of online education (Markova et al, 2017), networking principles in e-learning (Smyrnova-Trybulska, Kommers et al, 2019).

These issues require a comprehensive revisit in terms of the toll the global pandemic took on complex framework transformation of educational formats to digital remote mode, subsequently, on the individual learning experiences and subsequent shift in quality estimations of linguistic education in universities due to the abrupt transition to exclusively distant, digital distant or hybrid learning formats as a mode of formal education and university degree acquisition (as opposed to being a mostly auxiliary learning method or an informal learning format).

This **inquiry objective**, henceforth, is to estimate the quality assessment of complex, digitally enhanced formats of linguistic education via in-depth evaluation of individual digital distance and hybrid learning experiences and best practices by students of Oriental and European Languages of university programs in regions of Ukraine (Eastern Europe) through the span of educational activities in the time-frame of COVID-19 quarantine measures of March 2020 to January 2021.

Based on the activity profile (digital distance and blended learning in Foreign Language Acquisition) an online survey was devised to assess in-depth subjective experiences of e-learning and hybrid learning in COVID-19 timeframe (March 2020 – January 2021) for students of Oriental languages (Mandarin Chinese and Japanese) and European Languages (English, French, Spanish, Italian) major programs. The survey sample geographic distribution covers the universities of the capital city of Ukraine (Kyiv) (3 universities) and a representative selection of regional universities of Ukraine (14 universities), offering major programs in Oriental (Mandarin Chinese, Japanese) and European (English, French, Spanish, Italian) languages on all three tier of educational levels according to the legislature of Ukraine (Law of Ukraine, 2019): undergraduate, graduate and post-graduate.

The study premise is based on identification of complex digital distant learning formats (ubiquitous)-learning (Crowe, 2007), m(obile)-learning, b(lended)-learning, hybrid learning) and modes for university educational programs and projected digital literacy requirements (European Commission, 2020; DQ, 2019; World Economic Forum, 2020). For the purposes of this study digitally enhanced or electronic learning (e-learning) is estimated as a form of ubiquitous learning (u-learning) that involves learning in an environment with full access to digital devices and services at any given moment (Van'tHooft, 2007).

As a parcel of the Technosphere shift in comprehensive human activity, parameterization principles of a concept of electronic (or digitally enhanced) interaction and communication in the paradigm of the humanities in general (Makhashvili, 2020), allow to identify the features of e-learning as a complex object system pertaining the following parameters: Ubiquity; Ontocentrism; Integrativity; Automorphism; Normativity; Communicative substantiality; Information capacity; Interactive framework meta status. Through the fragmented set of qualitative features, digitally enhanced (electronic) learning framework is tangent to the concepts of complex frameworks of cognitive outlook and activity, such as: 1) Model of the world/worldview (inclusivity, integrativity, automorphism); Linguistic worldview/mapping (communicative substantiality; interactive framework meta status); Noosphere (ontocentrism, information capacity). The integrative concept of e-learning stands as a complex synthesis of these frameworks.

The framework evolution and innovation of e-learning as a complex system of human activity in the digital age, is determined by a range of qualifying conditions of its emergence, existence and transformation in the COVID-19

timeframe, including: 1) exhaustive synchronization of the object, phenomenological and anthropological planes of educational activities and procedures of ICT development; 2) exhaustive output of parameterization isomorphism of ontological (substance phenomenological), anthropic and digitized structures of reality and educational activity; 3) flexibility, adaptability and dynamic potential of the educational activities enhanced by ICT tools and digital technology (that is fulfilled, in particular through info-capacity, hybridization, and evolution of the basic functional features of the learning process).

COVID-19 quarantine measures, put in place country-wide in educational institutions Ukraine in the time spans of March-June 2020, October-December 2020 and January 2021 have demanded the simultaneous employment and overlap of the following structurally complex learning formats: e-learning 1.0 (direct synchronous computer assisted distant instruction); e-learning 2.0 (asynchronous computer-assisted collaborative learning) (Trentin, 2010); blended learning (in-person teaching with asynchronous ICT assisted learning methods) (Voorn, Kommers, 2013; Dos Reis, 2015; Boyarsky, 2020); hybrid learning (synchronous instruction of in-presence and remote students via ICT tools) (Duff, 2020). Based on the level of quarantine alert, adopted in regions of Ukraine, different level of complexity was utilized for educational activities in universities: e-learning 1.0/2.0 (for red and orange zones of quarantine) and hybrid learning (for yellow zones) formats were implemented.

The overarching research project hypothesis is the observable variation in the individual perception of e-learning and hybrid learning quality of foreign languages acquisition by different groups of stakeholders in different regions of Ukraine and in the capital city due to an arrangement of educational (core competences, soft skills proficiency), social and psychological (information fatigue, stress, community influence) and technological factors (digital literacy, digital divide, digital gap, pre-existing level of educational process digitization and e-learning experiences).

REFERENCES

1. Bekele, T. A., 2010. Motivation and satisfaction in internet-supported learning environments: A review. In *Educational Technology & Society*, 13(2), pp. 116–127.
2. Bolliger, D. U. & Wasilik O., 2009. Factors influencing faculty satisfaction with online teaching and learning in higher education. In *Distance Education*, 30(1), pp. 103–116.
3. Boyarsky, K., 2020. *What is Hybrid Learning*. eThink. Retrieved from: <https://www.owllabs.com/blog/hybrid-learning>
4. Budko, V., 1990. *Adekvatnost' nauchnogo poznavaniya*. Har'kov : Logos, 154 p.
5. Costareie, S., 2011. Assuaring quality based on the assessment of learning outcomes. In *5th International Technology, Education and Development. Conference (INTEd)* Mar. 07-09, 2011, pp. 3235–3236.
6. Crowe, A. R., 2007. Learning to teach with mobile technology: A teacher educator's journey. In *Ubiquitous computing in education*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp. 127–144.
7. Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., Christian, Leah Melani, 2014. *Internet, Phone, Mail and Mixed-Mode Surveys: The Tailored Design Method*, 4th edition. John Wiley: Hoboken, NJ.
8. Dos Reis, A., 2015. To Be a (Blended) Teacher in the 21st Century - Some Reflections. In *International Journal of Research in E-learning*, 1(1), pp. 11–24.
9. DQ Global Standards Report, 2019. *World's first global standard for digital literacy, skills and readiness launched by the Coalition for Digital Intelligence*. Retrieved from: <https://www.dqinstitute.org/> (accessed January 2021).
10. Duff C., 2020. *Everything you need to know about education, technology and distance learning*. eThink, Retrieved from: <https://www.owllabs.com/blog/hybrid-learning>

11. European Commission, 2020. *European E-Competence Framework Guideline*. Retrieved from: <https://www.ecompetences.eu/> (accessed January 2021).
12. Gachev G., 1993. Gumanitarnyj komentarij k estestvoznaniju. In *Voprosy Literatury*. Issue 11, pp. 71–78.
13. *Handbook of Disease Burdens and Quality of Life Measures*, 2010. Springer Science+Business Media, pp. 4288–4288.
14. Heim, M., 1993. *The Metaphysics of Virtual Reality*. LA: Westport Publishers.
15. Kasavin, I., 1990. Postigaja mnogoobrazie razuma. Zabluzhdajushhij razum? In *Mногообразie Vnenauchnogo Znaniija*. Moscow, pp. 67–79.
16. Kireev, G., 2008. O kartine mira. In *Kosmologicheskoe esse*. Lebed', Issue 534, pp. 25–29.
17. Makhachashvili, R., 2020. Models and Digital Diagnostics Tools for the Innovative Polylingual Logosphere of Computer Being Dynamics. In *Italian-Ukrainian Contrastive Studies: Linguistics, Literature, Translation. Monograph*. Peter Lang GmbH Internationaler Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, pp. 99–124.
18. Makhachashvili, R., Semenist, I., & Bakhtina, A., 2020. Digital Skills Development And Ict Tools For Final Qualification Assessment: Survey Study For Students And Staff Of European And Oriental Philology Programs. In *Electronic Scientific Professional Journal "Open Educational E-Environment Of Modern University*, (9), pp. 54–68. <https://doi.org/10.28925/2414-0325.2020.9.5>
19. Makhachashvili, R., Semenist, I., 2021. Student Satisfaction With Digital Hybrid Learning In European And Oriental Languages Programs: Survey Study Of Regional Universities Of Ukraine. In *Proceedings Of The 19th International Conference E-society*, pp. 133–143.
20. Markova, T., Glazkova, I., & Zaborova, E. 2017. Quality Issues of Online Distance Learning. In *Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Issue 237, pp. 685–691.
21. Ni, A. Y., 2013. Comparing the effectiveness of classroom and online learning: Teaching research methods. In *Journal of Public Affairs Education*, 2013, 19(2), pp. 199–215.
22. Prensky, M. 2001. Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants. In *On the Horizon*, Vol. 9, No. 5.
23. Salyers, V., Carter, L., Carter, A., Myers, S., & Barrett, P., 2014. The search for meaningful e-learning at Canadian universities: A multi-institutional research study. In *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, Issue 15(6), pp. 313–347.
24. Smyrnova-Trybulska, E., Kommers P. et al (Eds), 2019. *Universities in the Networked Society*. Springer.
25. Taleb, N., 2010. *The Black Swan: The Impact Of The Highly Improbable* (2nd ed.). London: Penguin. ISBN 978-0-14103459-1.
26. Thiessen, J., Ambrock, V., 2011. Value Added – The Editor in Design and Development of Online Courses. In *The Theory and Practice of Online Learning*, AU Press, Athabasca University. Retrieved from: <https://read.aupress.ca/read/the-theory-and-practice-of-online-learning/section/7b34c0dd-89ad-4280-9c95-137c1e2aa471#ch11>
27. Trentin, G., 2010. *Networked Collaborative Learning: Social Interaction and Active Learning*. Springer.
28. Tu, Pierre N. V., 1994. *Dynamical systems : an introduction with applications in economics and biology*. Berlin New York: Springer.
29. Van 't Hooft, M., Swan, K., Lin, Y-M., & Cook, D., 2007. What is ubiquitous computing? In *Ubiquitous Computing in Education*, pp. 3–17.
30. Voorn, R. J., & Kommers, P. A., 2013. Social media and higher education: introversion and collaborative learning from the student's perspective. In *International Journal of Social Media and Interactive Learning Environments*, Issue 1(1), pp. 59–73.
31. World Economic Forum, 2020. *The Future of Jobs Report*. Retrieved from: http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_2020.pdf (accessed November 2020).

MOGIŁA „SZNUROWA”, CZYLI RZECZ O BUDOWNICZYCH NEOLITYCZNYCH KURHANÓW Zgurecki Wojciech	69
ПОЧАТКОВИ УЯВЛЕННЯ ПРО ЛЮБОВ В ДОБУ АНТИЧНОСТІ Кода Ганна Юрївна	76
ЧИ Є У ВІЙНИ ЗАПАХ? Крагель Каріна Володимирівна	80
ТЕОРІЯ БЮРОКРАТІЇ РОБЕРТА МІХЕЛЬСА Крюков Олексій Євгенійович	82
ОСОБЛИВОСТІ НАВЧАННЯ ІНОЗЕМНИХ СТУДЕНТІВ У ЗАКЛАДАХ ВИЩОЇ ОСВІТИ УКРАЇНИ Кузьмицька Маргарита Олександрівна.....	84
WSPARCIE DLA DZIECI I RODZINY W PLACÓWKACH OPIEKUŃCZO-WYCHOWAWCZYCH Marta Magdoń.....	86
INTEGRAL MODELS OF DIGITAL LEARNING FOR FOREIGN LANGUAGES PROGRAMS Makhachashvili Rusudan, Semenist Ivan	92
FIGURALNE MALARSTWO GRECKIE OKRESU KLASYCZNEGO (450-425 P.N.E.) Majewski Łukasz	97
AKTUALNE PRAWNE UWARUNKOWANIA FUNKCJONOWANIA PLACÓWKI OSWIATOWEJ W POLSCE dr inż. Myjak Krzysztof	102
КОНЦЕПЦІЯ НАЦІЄТВОРЧИХ ІДЕЙ У ТВОРЧІЙ СПАДЩИНІ ІВАНА ФРАНКА Мозер Майя Євгенівна	109
OPERACJA POLSKA NA BIAŁORUSI I INNYCH REJONACH ZSRS Pazder Przemysław Mirosław	113
DER ABENTEUERSCHRIFTSTELLER KARL MAY IN BAD SALZBRUNN, DER PERLE DER SCHLESISCHEN BÄDER dr Południak Natalia	118
PODRÓŻE STANISŁAWA ŻUKOWSKIEGO PO EUROPIE ZACHODNIEJ W LATACH 1912-1914 A JEGO TWÓRCZOŚĆ Mgr. Syzonenko Iryna.....	124
ДІАСПОРАЛЬНА ПОЛІТИКА ДЕРЖАВ-ЧЛЕНІВ ГУАМ (1997-2021РР.): ІНСТИТУЦІОНАЛЬНА ІСТОРІЯ ТА ЕТАПИ ФОРМУВАННЯ Сергеева Анна Олександрівна	133