UDC 01.1

The XXIII International Scientific and Practical Conference «Theoretical and science bases of actual tasks», June 14 – 17, 2022, Lisbon, Portugal. 703 p.

ISBN – 979-8-88680-833-9 DOI – 10.46299/ISG.2022.1.23

EDITORIAL BOARD

Pluzhnik Elena	Professor of the Department of Criminal Law and Criminology Odessa State University of Internal Affairs Candidate of Law, Associate Professor	
Liubchych Anna	Scientific and Research Institute of Providing Legal Framework for the Innovative Development National Academy of Law Sciences of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine, Scientific secretary of Institute	
<u>Liudmyla Polyvana</u>	Department of Accounting and Auditing Kharkiv National Technical University of Agriculture named after Petr Vasilenko, Ukraine	
Mushenyk Iryna	Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor of Mathematical Disciplines, Informatics and Modeling. Podolsk State Agrarian Technical University	
Oleksandra Kovalevska	Dnipropetrovsk State University of Internal Affairs Dnipro, Ukraine	
Prudka Liudmyla	Odessa State University of Internal Affairs, Associate Professor of Criminology and Psychology Department	
<u>Slabkyi Hennadii</u>	Doctor of Medical Sciences, Head of the Department of Health Sciences, Uzhhorod National University.	
Marchenko Dmytro	PhD, Associate Professor, Lecturer, Deputy Dean on Academic Affairs Faculty of Engineering and Energy	
Harchenko Roman	Candidate of Technical Sciences, specialty 05.22.20 - operation and repair of vehicles.	
Belei Svitlana	Ph.D., Associate Professor, Department of Economics and Security of Enterprise	
Lidiya Parashchuk	PhD in specialty 05.17.11 "Technology of refractory non-metallic materials"	
<u>Kanyovska Lyudmila</u> <u>Volodymyrivna</u>	Associate Professor of the Department of Internal Medicine	
Levon Mariia	Candidate of Medical Sciences, Associate Professor, Scientific direction - morphology of the human digestive system	
<u>Hubal Halyna</u> <u>Mykolaivna</u>	Ph.D. in Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Associate Professor	

PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES		
96.	Halatsyn K., Feshchuk A., Yaroshenko O.	
	FORMING THE ENGLISH-LANGUAGE COMPETENCE OF FUTURE ENGINEERS BY MEANS OF INTERACTIVE TECHNOLOGY	
97.	Konoplianyk L.	
	DEVELOPMENT OF FUTURE IT PROFESSIONALS' SOFT SKILLS AS A PART OF AN ESP COURSE	
98.	Pasieka N., Begin O., Vatsyk D., Hryvnak M.	390
	USING A COMPLEMENTARY REALITY IN SHAPING THE MORAL CULTURE OF YOUNG PUPILS IN A NEW UKRAINIAN SCHOOL	
99.	Romanovska O.	394
	TRAINING FUTURE SEAFARERS IN ENGLISH CULTURAL TERMINOLOGY	
100.	Silistraru N., Bulat V.	396
	DATA COLLECTION IN AN EVALUATION PROCESS OF EDUCATIONAL PROJECTS	
101.	Terletska L.	399
	FEATURES OF TBLT METHODOLOGY OF ESL LEARNING IN PRIMARY SCHOOL	
102.	Грищенко О.О.	401
	РОЗВИТОК КРЕАТИВНОГО МОВЛЕННЯ ДІТЕЙ ПЕРЕДШКІЛЬНОГО ВІКУ ЗАСОБОМ МЕДІАПРОЕКТУ	
103.	Петровського І.А.	404
	ВПРОВАДЖЕННЯ ІНКЛЮЗИВНОЇ ОСВІТИ В ДІЯЛЬНІСТЬ ЗАКЛАДІВ ДОШКІЛЬНОЇ ОСВІТИ	
104.	Пономаренко А.Р., Базалюк Л.В., Яніцька Л.В.	408
	ПСИХО-ЕМОЦІЙНИЙ СТАН СТУДЕНТІВ ПРИ ПІДГОТОВЦІ ДО ІСПИТІВ ПІД ЧАС ВІЙНИ В УКРАЇНІ	
105.	Прокоф'єва Л.А., Фідірко М.О.	413
	ФОРМУВАННЯ ГОТОВНОСТІ МАЙБУТНІХ УЧИТЕЛІВ ПОЧАТКОВОЇ ШКОЛИ ДО ВПРОВАДЖЕННЯ ЗДОРОВ'ЯЗБЕРІГАЮЧИХ ТЕХНОЛОГІЙ	

PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES THEORETICAL AND SCIENCE BASES OF ACTUAL TASKS

FEATURES OF TBLT METHODOLOGY OF ESL LEARNING IN PRIMARY SCHOOL

Terletska Liubov

Ph.D., Senior lecturer Borys Grinchenko Kyiv University

The concept of the New Ukrainian School states that one of the key competencies necessary for successful self-realization of students in life, study and work is communication in foreign languages, the ability to properly understand foreign language, orally and in writing express and interpret concepts, opinions feelings, facts and views (through listening, speaking, reading and writing) in a wide range of social and cultural contexts. Skills of mediation and intercultural communication.

The aim of my research is to analyze and explore Task-Based Language Teaching (TBTL) - a relatively new approach to language teaching, developed within a communicative approach that fully meets the concept and requirements of the New Ukrainian School, the development of modern, self-sufficient and life-adapted personality. In addition, the use of this approach actively promotes the development of 21st century students' skills (critical thinking, communication, teamwork, creativity). The relevance of the topic of my research is that, although there is a lot of theoretical information about this method, task-based language teaching is still a new concept for many teachers. These activities contribute to the theoretical and practical research of TBLT, and this approach is gradually becoming part of many national curricula.

Language is a tool that we use to communicate in order to do things and task-based language teaching teaches people to do those things, and they get the language they need to do them all at once. Task-Based Language Teaching is primarily a studentcentred approach. It originated from the Communicative Approach, but has since developed its own distinct principles. It can be seen as a response to more traditional teacher-led, grammar-oriented, presentation-practice-production (PPP) approaches of language instruction. There's some methodological principles for task-based language teaching, and they're designed to facilitate the building blocks that we know need to happen in order for people to learn a second language. The main points of this methodology are: access to lots and lots of input, the opportunity to produce the language yourself, interaction with other interlocutors, feedback [3]. So TBLT is designed to create a cycle linguistic environment that gives learners access to all of these requirements for Second Language Learning. The first principle is to use tasks not texts. Because the course objective in a TBLT course is for learners to meet their realworld goals. So specific linguistic structures are not the goal, and courses are organized around what learners need to accomplish. Another methodological principle is that you need to promote learning by doing. Basically, it's show don't tell. Because practical experience brings tasks to life. You want to give your students the opportunities for role-play and practice with authentic materials and tasks. Give them examples of real people using the language you're teaching rather than scripted

PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES THEORETICAL AND SCIENCE BASES OF ACTUAL TASKS

dialogues or fill in the blank exercises in a textbook. Show them how people use language to do what it is they want to be able to do so they learn to do it themselves. Now, another principle is that teacher needs to offer rich elaborated input, to use genuine resources or authentic content [2]. But it's important to remember that authentic content need scaffolding. Videos can be broken into chunks. Articles can be cut into pieces and you can offer comprehension questions, or glosses or definitions.

When we try to simplify complex language, we actually are offering learners examples of language that are not real, and that instead we can elaborate it. Give primaries the tools they need to understand the authentic materials, and offer many, many examples of the same task. That means if your learners want to be able to fill out a application, or give a speech in the language they're studying, you should let them see 10 different examples of job applications. Or have them watch 10 different speeches on the same topic with different speakers. Children need the repetition of not the same exact thing over and over again but many similar things are irrelevant to the tasks they want to be able to accomplish. Because a real language helps learners apply what they've learned. The next principle is focus on form [1]. Learners only incorporate grammar into their emerging languages when they're developmentally ready for it. It doesn't happen at the same time for every single learner. So what you want to do is teach them grammatical forms just in time when it's necessary for the task they're trying to accomplish, or when learners have a question about a grammatical form. Language learning is an individualized process. Everyone is going to do it in a slightly different way, and the most important thing is to give them time to practice so that they can make improvements at their own pace in their own way. Feedback should be based on learner's needs and performance but not because you believe that everybody by day seven should understand the difference.

References:

1.Bygate, M., Skehan, P., & Swain, M. (2001). Introduction. In M. Bygate, P. Skehan & M. Swain (Eds.), Researching pedagogic tasks. Second language learning, teaching and testing. London:Longman

2.Crookes, G. 1986. Task classification: A cross-disciplinary review. (Technical Report No. 4). Center for Second Language Classroom Research, Social Science Research Institute, University of Hawaii at Manoa

3.Ellis, R. Task-based research and language pedagogy. Language Teaching Research. 2000. № 4(3). pp. 193–200