

# НАУЧЕН ВЕКТОР НА БАЛКАНИТЕ

Основано през 2017 г.

Том 5

16+

№ 1 (11)

2021

Излизащо на всеки 3  
месеца научно списание  
(4 пъти в годината)

Основател - «Научен хронограф» ЕООД

**Главен редактор**

Василев Веселин Костов, доктор на психологическите науки, професор

**Заместник главен редактор:**

Абасова Къзългюл Ясин къзъ, доктор на философските науки, професор

Ахаев Андрей Василиевич, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Бакалова Зинаида Николаевна, доктор на филологическите науки, професор

Билчак Василий Степанович, доктор на икономическите науки, професор

Зографова Йоланда Костадинова, доктор, професор

Иванов Георги Петков, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Клишков Георги Тодоров, PhD, главен асистент

Красич Даниела Костадинович, доктор, професор

Курилова Анастасия Александрова, доктор на икономическите науки, професор

Майхджик-Микула Джоана, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Микляева Анастасия Владимировна, доктор на психологическите науки, професор

Милева Елеонора Михайлова, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Минкова Ганета Минкова, доктор по право, професор

Осадченко Ина Ивановна, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Тарантей Виктор Петрович, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Цибранска-Костова Марияна, доктор на филологическите науки, професор

Шарипов Фаридун Файзулаевич, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

**Редакционна колегия:**

Алиева Нармин Абулфаз къзъ, доктор на филологическите науки, доцент

Ангелова Минна Николаева, доктор, доцент

Бочегова Наталия Николаевна, доктор на филологическите науки, доцент

Бурмикина Ирина Викторовна, доктор на социологическите науки, професор

Васковска Галина Алексеевна, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Гаджалова-Левтерова Дора Стойлова, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Дамянов Бисер Илиев, доктор на изкуствознание, професор

Демченко Ирина Иванова, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Димитрова Теофана Валентинова, доктор, доцент

Кирова Алла Валентиновна, доктор, професор

Коберник Александър Миколайович, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Комар Таисия Василевна, доктор на психологическите науки, професор

Коновалчук Валентина Ивановна, доктор на философските науки, доцент

Костова Иванка Милкова, доктор, професор

Коцева Татьяна Иванова, доктор, професор

Линков Александър Йорданов, PhD, доцент

Масюк Наталия Николаевна, доктор на икономическите науки, професор

Мирчева Елка Петрова, PhD, професор

Мотов Ирена, доктор на науките в отрасъл социална педагогика, професор

Нестеряк Юрий Василевич, доктор на науките за държавното управление, доцент

Нецева-Порчева Татьяна Нейчева, доктор, доцент

Панаедова Галина Ивановна, доктор на икономическите науки, професор

Розенберг Геннадий Самуилович, член-кореспондент на Руската академия на науките,

доктор на биологическите науки, професор

Салкова Екатерина Гетова, доктор по право, доцент

Севастианов Сергей Виталиевич, доктор на политическите науки, доцент

Станев Велин Стефанов, доктор, професор

Тсиринготи Константинос, доктор на науките в отрасъл психология, професор

Фелхнер Анджей, доктор на науките в отрасъл по история на образованието, професор

Фиделос Анна, доктор на науките в отрасъл специална педагогика, професор

Чепил Мария Мирановна, доктор на педагогическите науки, професор

Шьвитава Ирениуш, доктор на науките в отрасъл философия, професор

Юнусов Ахат Ахнафович, доктор на юридическите науки, професор

Якунин Вадим Николаевич, доктор на историческите науки, професор

Зарегистрирано от Министерството на културата (с декларация 7а, ал.3 от Закона за задължително депозиране на печатни и други произведения) № 26-00-691 от 29.10.2018 г.

Регистриран във Федералната служба по надзора в сферата на връзките, информационните технологии и масовите комуникации на Руската Федерация РП № 341 от 02.03.2020 г.

*Абонаментният каталог «Вестници.Списания» на Агенция «Руски печат» е с № 80508*

Компютърен набор:  
Никола Николов

Технически редактор:  
Йорданка Петкова

*Адрес на редколегия, учредител, редакция и издател - «Научен хронограф» ЕООД: България, гр. Перушица 4225, Област Пловдив, Община Перушица, ул. "Д. Малинчев", №33  
Тел.: +359895612046  
E-mail: hronist@abv.bg  
Сайт: <https://sci-vector-balkans.com/>*

Подписано за печат 28.02.2021.  
Излиза на 28.02.2021.  
Формат 60x84 1/8.  
Оперативен печат.  
Условно отпеч. листове 11,88.  
Тираж 50 екз. Поръчка 1-28-02.

Печатница S.R.L. «Fabrica Disa»  
Ул. Валя Круция, 9/2  
MD-2072, Кишинев, Молдова  
Свободна цена



©2021 Контент достъпен според лицензията CC BY-NC 4.0  
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license  
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

## INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEMBERS OF THE EDITORIAL BOARD

### **Chief Editor**

Vassilev Veselin Kostov, Doctor of Psychological Sciences, Professor  
(Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Plovdiv, Bulgaria)

### **Deputy Chief Editors:**

Abbasova Kyzylgul Yasin kyzy, Doctor of Philosophical Sciences, Professor, Deputy Dean of the Faculty of Social Sciences and Psychology  
(Baku State University, Baku, Azerbaijan)

Ahaev Andrey Vasilievich, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor  
(Center for International Partnership, Ust-Kamenogorsk, Kazakhstan)

Bakalova Zinaida Nikolaevna, Doctor of Philology, Professor, Professor of the Department "Russian Language, Speech Culture and Methods of Their Teaching"  
(Samara State Social and Pedagogical University, Samara, Russia)

Bilchak Vasily Stepanovich, Doctor of Economics, Professor, Professor of the Department of Microeconomics  
(Warmian-Masurian University in Olsztyn, Olsztyn, Poland)

Mileva Eleonora Mikhailova, Doctor of Pedagogy, Professor, Professor of the Department of Psychology, Pedagogy and Sociology, Head of the Pedagogy Sector  
(National Sports Academy «Vasil Levski», Sofia, Bulgaria)

Zografova Yolanda Kostadinova, PhD, Professor, department „Psychology”, section leader «Social, Occupational and Organizational Psychology», Director of Institute  
(Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (BAS), Institute for Population and Human Research, Sofia, Bulgaria)

Ivanov Georgy Petkov, doctor of pedagogical sciences, professor, Head of Quality and Accreditation Department  
(Thracian University, Stara Zagora, Bulgaria)

Klinkov Georgi Todorov, PhD, Chief Assistant  
(Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Plovdiv, Bulgaria)

Krasich Daniela Kostadinovic, PhD, Professor, Deputy Dean of the Faculty of Education  
(Belgrade University, Serbia)

Kurilova Anastasia Aleksandrovna, Doctor of Economic Sciences, professor, Head of the Department «Finance and Credit»  
(Togliatti State University, Togliatti, Russia)

Majdijk-Mikula Joanna, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor  
(Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce, Poland)

Miklyayeva Anastasia Vladimirovna, Doctor of Psychological Sciences, Associate Professor, Professor of the Department «Human psychology»  
(Russian State Pedagogical University, St. Petersburg, Russia)

Minkova Ganeta Minkova, doctor in law, professor, Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Sofia University «Kliment Ohridski»  
(Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (BAS), Institute of State and Law, Sofia, Bulgaria)

Osadchenko Ina Ivanovna, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor  
(Uman Pedagogical University, Uman, Ukraine)

Tarantei Victor Petrovich, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor  
(Grodno State University, Grodno, Belarus)

Tsibranska-Kostova Mariana, Ph.D in Philological Sciences, professor, head of section for history of Bulgarian language  
(Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (BAS), Institute for Bulgarian language «Prof. M. Andreichin», Sofia, Bulgaria)

Sharipov Faridun Fayzullayevich, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, the Head of Pedagogic Chair  
(Tajik National University, Dushanbe, Tajikistan)

### **Editorial Board:**

Aliyeva Narmin Abulfaz kyzy, Doctor of Philological Sciences, Associate Professor  
(Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences (ANAS), Institute of Linguistics, Baku, Azerbaijan)

Angelova Mina Nikolaeva, Doctor, Associate Professor of the Department of Management and Quantitative Methods in Economics  
(Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Plovdiv, Bulgaria)

Bochegova Natalia Nikolaevna, Doctor of Philological Sciences, Dean of the Faculty of Philology  
(Kurgan State University, Kurgan, Russia)

Burmykina Irina Viktorovna, Doctor of Social Sciences, Professor, Vice-rector for scientific work  
(Lipetsk State Pedagogical University, Lipetsk, Russia)

Vaskovskaya Galina Alekseevna, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor, Head of the Laboratory «Didactics»  
(Institute of Pedagogy of the National Academy of Pedagogical Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine)

Gadjalova-Levterova Dora Stoilova, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor  
(Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Plovdiv, Bulgaria)

Damyanov Biser Iliev, Doctor of Art Studies, Professor, Head of the Department of Aesthetic Education  
(Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Plovdiv, Bulgaria)

Demchenko Irina Ivanova, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor of Pedagogy  
(Uman State Pedagogical University, Uman, Ukraine)

Dimitrova Teofana Valentinova, PhD, Associate Professor, Head of the Department of Marketing and International Economic Relations  
(Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Plovdiv, Bulgaria)

Kirova Alla Valentinovna, PhD, Professor, sector «Macroeconomics», Deputy Director  
(Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (BAS), Institute for Economic Research, Sofia, Bulgaria)

Kobernik Alexander Mikhailovich, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor  
(Uman State Pedagogical University, Uman, Ukraine)

Komar Taisia Vassilevna, Doctor of Psychological Sciences, Professor  
(Khmelnitsky National University, Khmelnytsky, Ukraine)

Konovalchuk Valentina Ivanovna, Doctor of Philosophical Science, Associate Professor  
(Cherkasy Regional Institute for Graduate Education of Pedagogical Workers, Cherkasy, Ukraine)

Kostova Ivanka Milkova, PhD, Professor, Department of Marketing and International Economic Relations  
(Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Plovdiv, Bulgaria)

Koceva Tatyana Ivanova, PhD, Professor  
(Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (BAS), Institute for Population and Human Research, Sofia, Bulgaria)

Linkov Alexander Yordanov, PhD, Associate Professor  
(Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Plovdiv, Bulgaria)

Masyuk Natalia Nikolaevna, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Professor of the Department of Management  
(Vladivostok State University of Economics and Service, Vladivostok, Russia)

Mircheva Elka Petrova, PhD, professor, section for History of Bulgarian language  
(Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (BAS), Institute for Bulgarian language «Prof. M. Andreichin», Sofia, Bulgaria)

Motov Irena, Doctor of Social Pedagogy, Professor  
(Humanities and Economics Academy, Lodz, Poland)

Nesterjiak Yuri Vasilevich, Doctor of Government Studies, Associate Professor  
(National Aviation University, Kiev, Ukraine)

Netseva-Porcheva Tatyana Neicheva, Doctor, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Department of Marketing and Strategic Planning  
(University of National and World Economy, Sofia, Bulgaria)

Panaedova Galina Ivanovna, Doctor of Economics, Professor, Professor of the Department of Tax Policy and Customs  
(North Caucasus Federal University, Stavropol, Russia)

Rosenberg Gennady Samuilovich, Corresponding Member of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Doctor of Biological Sciences, Professor  
(Institute of Ecology of Volga Basin of Russian Academy of Science, Togliatti, Russia)

Salkova Ekaterina Getova, PhD in law, associate professor, Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Head of section «Criminal Law Sciences»  
(Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (BAS), Institute of State and Law, Sofia, Bulgaria)

Sevastyanov Sergey Vitalevich, Doctor of Political Sciences, Associate Professor, Professor of the Department of International Relations  
(Far Eastern Federal University, Vladivostok, Russia)

Stanev Velin Stefanov, PhD, Professor, Department of Marketing and International Economic Relations  
(Plovdiv University «Paisii Hilendarski», Plovdiv, Bulgaria)

Tsirigotis Konstantinos, Doctor of Psychology, Professor  
(Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce, Poland)

Fellhner Andrzej, Doctor of Science in Education History, Professor  
(Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce, Poland)

Fidelius Anna, Doctor of Science in Special Education, Professor  
(Cardinal Stefan Vishinski University, Warsaw, Poland)

Chepil Maria Mironovna, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor  
(Dragovic State Pedagogical University, Dragovic, Ukraine)

Shivitava Ireneush, PhD, Professor

(Pedagogical University in the name of KEN, Krakow, Poland)

Yunusov Ahat Akhnafovich, Doctor of Legal Sciences, Professor of the Criminal Law and Process Department  
(Kazan University of Innovation, Kazan, Russia)

Yakunin Vadim Nikolaevich, Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Vice-Rector for Research and Innovation  
(Volga Region State University of Service, Togliatti, Russia)

---

**CONTENT**

*pedagogical sciences*

**THE FORMATION OF A PERSONAL AND NATIONAL IDENTITY  
ON THE EXAMPLE OF SPORTS PHOTOGRAPHY  
AS A SYMBOLIC CAPITAL**

ANGELOVA-IGOVA Boryana, BORISSOVA Sylvia,  
YAKOVLEVA Liliana Sergeevna, KUDASHOV Viacheslav Ivanovich.....5

**CAMIFICATION OF THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESS  
IN A HIGHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION:  
THEORY AND METHODOLOGY**

KOBERNIK Alexander Nikolajevich, OSADCHENKO Inna Ivanovna.....12

**STUDY OF THE MOTIVATIVE COMPONENT OF TECHNOLOGICAL  
COMPETENCE OF THE TEACHER OF PROFESSIONAL TRAINING**

VAGANOVA Olga Igorevna, KOROSTELEV Aleksandr Alekseevich.....18

**VALUE DETERMINANTS IN THE TRAINING  
OF FUTURE TEACHERS**

VASKIVSKA Halyna Oleksiivna, PALAMAR Svitlana Pavlivna,  
GOLOTA Nataliya Mikolaivna.....22

*philological sciences*

**MODERN PARALLELS CAUSED BY READING THE STORY  
KOMATSU SAKI “PAPER OR HAIR”**

IAKOVLEVA Elena Ludvigovna.....27

**PITCH VALUES IN CHINESE SOCIAL AND COMMERCIAL  
ADVERTISEMENT DISCOURSE**

KARAVAEVA Veronika Georgievna, ZHANG Jianwen,  
ANDROSOVA Svetlana Viktorovna.....32

**ABDURAGIM BEK KHAKVERDIEV’S WORLD OF ART**

MUSTAFAYEV Zaur Telman.....37

**SPECIFIC FEATURES OF SPEECH PAUSING FOR DIFFERENT  
AGE GROUPS IN THE FAR EAST**

IVANASHKO Yulia Petrovna, PROTSUKOVICH Elena Aleksandrovna,  
NIKOLAEVA Irina Vadimovna.....40

**LEXICAL NOMINATION AN ILLEGITIMATE CHILD IN THE SLAVIC  
ETHNOLOCULTURAL AND DIALECT SPACE**

TYSHCHENKO Oleh Volodymyrovych, TSYMBAL Nataliia Andriivna,  
SAVCHUK Nataliia Mykhailivna, ANIKINA Inessa Valeriivna,  
ZADOIANA Larysa Mykolaivna.....45

**ON THE ISSUE OF THE FORMATION OF SOCIAL INDICATORS  
IN MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING**

BOROVITSKAYA Marina Vladimirovna.....55

**DIAGNOSIS OF THE MODERN METHODS EFFECTIVENESS  
OF COST MANAGEMENT IN ENTERPRISES**

PASKA Igor, PONEDILCHUK Tetiana, ZABOLOTNYI Viacheslav.....59

**CONSUMERS' WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR ORGANIC  
FOOD PRODUCTS**

TERZIEVA Elena.....64

**Conditions of accommodation of scientific materials.....69**

UDC 371.13:37.014.53+316.752:17.022.1  
DOI: 10.34671/SCH.SVB.2021.0501.0004



©2021 Контент доступен по лицензии CC BY-NC 4.0  
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

## VALUE DETERMINANTS IN THE TRAINING OF FUTURE TEACHERS

© The Author(s) 2021  
ORCID: 0000-0002-8714-8512  
ResearcherID: AAF-7038-2021  
Scopus ID: 57201066099

**VASKIVSKA Halyna Oleksiivna**, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor, Department of Theory and History of Pedagogy

*Kyiv University Borys Grinchenko Kyiv University, Pedagogical Institute  
(Ukraine, Kyiv, I. Shamo Blvd 18/2, cab. 318, e-mail: h.vaskivska@kubg.edu.ua)*

ORCID: 0000-0001-6123-241X  
ResearcherID: AAD-6275-2019  
Scopus ID: 57201058070

**PALAMAR Svitlana Pavlivna**, Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Senior Researcher, Deputy Director for Research

*Kyiv University Borys Grinchenko Kyiv University, Pedagogical Institute  
(Ukraine, Kyiv, I. Shamo Blvd 18/2, cab. 318, e-mail: s.palamar@kubg.edu.ua)*

ORCID: 0000-0003-3748-753X

**GOLOTA Nataliya Mikolaivna**, Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Senior Researcher, Associate Professor of the Department of Pedagogy and Psychology

*Kyiv University Borys Grinchenko Kyiv University, Pedagogical Institute  
(Ukraine, Kyiv, I. Shamo Blvd 18/2, cab. 318, e-mail: n.holota@kubg.edu.ua)*

**Abstract.** The article, based on the analysis of scientific sources and empirical material, identifies the value orientations of student youth who have chosen the profession of a teacher; the factors influencing the formation of life values of future teachers are singled out. The problem of the formation of value orientations of student's youth in interrelation with the socialization of the person in the course of training in the pedagogical institution of higher education is considered. The process of formation of value orientations depends on both external social and internal personal factors. The factors influencing the formation of value orientations of future teachers are analyzed. The peculiarities of the value-orientation planes of modern Ukrainian society and their influence on the formation of the value system of students are highlighted. The main value orientations of students in the conditions of personality formation are determined. It is substantiated that the time of study in a higher education institution is a period of personal development of a young person, the accumulation of not only professional but also vital knowledge and skills, the formation of its interests and values, relevant norms and patterns of behavior. The process of formation of spiritual and moral values of future teachers is characterized as a promising direction, as modern society needs a comprehensively developed, highly moral personality, a specialist, whose professional activity will allow to lay values in the younger generations.

**Keywords:** future teachers; values; value orientations; priorities; professional training; students.

## ЦЕННОСТНЫЕ ДЕТЕРМИНАНТЫ В ПОДГОТОВКЕ БУДУЩИХ УЧИТЕЛЕЙ

© Автор(ы) 2021

**ВАСЬКОВСКАЯ Галина Алексеевна**, доктор педагогических наук, профессор, кафедра теории и истории педагогики

*Киевский университет имени Бориса Гринченко, Педагогический институт  
(Украина, Киев, бульвар И. Шамо, 18/2, каб. 318, e-mail: h.vaskivska@kubg.edu.ua)*

**ПАЛАМАР Светлана Павловна**, кандидат педагогических наук, старший научный сотрудник, заместитель директора по науке

*Киевского университета имени Бориса Гринченко, Педагогический институт  
(Украина, Киев, бульвар И. Шамо, 18/2, каб. 318, e-mail: s.palamar@kubg.edu.ua)*

**ГОЛОТА Наталья Николаевна**, кандидат педагогических наук, старший научный сотрудник, доцент кафедры педагогики и психологии

*Киевский университет имени Бориса Гринченко, Педагогический институт  
(Украина, Киев, бульвар И. Шамо, 18/2, каб. 318, e-mail: n.holota@kubg.edu.ua)*

**Аннотация.** В статье на основе анализа научных источников и эмпирического материала определены ценностные ориентации студенческой молодежи, выбравшей профессию педагога; выделены факторы, влияющие на формирование жизненных ценностей будущих педагогов. Рассмотрена проблема формирования ценностных ориентаций студенческой молодежи во взаимосвязи с социализацией личности в процессе обучения в педагогическом заведении высшего образования. Процесс формирования ценностных ориентаций зависит как от внешних социальных, так и внутренних личностных факторов. Проанализированы факторы, влияющие на формирование ценностных ориентаций будущих педагогов. Освещены особенности ценностно-ориентационных особенностей современного украинского общества и их влияние на формирование системы ценностей студентов. Определены главные ценностные ориентации студентов в условиях формирования личности. Обосновано, что время обучения в заведении высшего образования является периодом личностного становления молодого человека, накопления не только профессиональных, но и жизненных знаний и умений, формирования его интересов и ценностных ориентаций, соответствующих норм и образцов поведения. Охарактеризован как перспективное направление процесс формирования духовно-нравственных ценностей будущих педагогов, поскольку современное общество требует всесторонне развитую, высоко нравственную личность, специалиста, профессиональная деятельность которого позволит закладывать ценностные ориентации в подрастающие поколения.

**Ключевые слова:** будущие педагоги; ценности; ценностные ориентации; приоритеты; профессиональная подготовка; студенты.

### INTRODUCTION

*General formulation of the problem and its connection with important scientific or practical tasks.*

An important driving force in society is the youth, whose

energy, desire for changes determines the vectors of its development. The study of world outlook, life benchmarks, factors that influence the formation of a young person, is an important task of teachers and an actual problem in the sys-

tem of higher education in general. Studies show that there is a separate category is composed of young people who have chosen a teacher's profession. And this is understandable, because it is necessary to know clearly and to be sure that future teachers will not only skillfully extrapolate to their students not only their knowledge, their outlook, experience, their own values, but also the cultural heritage of their society and of all mankind.

*Analysis of recent studies and publications, which considered aspects of this problem and on which the author is based; highlighting previously unexplored parts of a common problem.* The problem of interpretation and formation of value orientations in higher education institutions has been studied by such scientists as V. Ogneviuk [1], G. Vaskivska [2], S. Kosianchuk [3], Vitvitska [4], S. Palamar [5], and others. According to well-known researchers of cultures and intercultural differences, values are the expression of the very core of mankind and human cultures (Musek [6]). "Methodologically designed educational process provides a harmonious combination of both general and specialized knowledge. It is obvious that such a combination would be the most efficient if the subject-subject interaction of spiritual and moral, aesthetic and social values prevails" (Vaskivska [7]).

A person must constantly be aware of the existence of values, must understand how they affect his/her perception (Maslow [8]), since different people form different values; some people may choose a desire for the truth, and others – social well-being (Hjelle, & Ziegler [9]), everything depends on the emotional-volitional sphere, and when we say that something is of value, then we express our emotions, and not the fact (Russell [10]). So, without understanding what actual students of higher educational institutions really are, it's impossible to predict how they will form values in their students in the future during their pedagogical activities.

*Justification of the relevance of the study.* At the current stage of development of Ukrainian education, the problem of forming values, meanings, ideals of the younger generation becomes especially relevant, which significantly depends on those who should take care of the formation of personality, i.e. teachers. Therefore, determining the value priorities of future teachers is an important aspect in modern education. The quality of education should contribute to the development of the personality of future teachers for the further progress of society, state building, its integration into the world community.

*Forming goals of the article.* The purpose of the article is to determine the variability of life values and values of modern student youth.

*Setting objectives.* In accordance with the purpose of the article to determine the value orientations of student youth who have chosen the profession of teacher; identify the factors influencing the formation of life values of future teachers.

#### METHODOLOGY

To perform these tasks and achieve the stated goal, universal methods of scientific knowledge were used: analysis, elementary-theoretical synthesis, comparison; empirical methods: questionnaires, pedagogical diagnostics, (Goncharenko [11]; Vazhynsky, & Shcherbak [12]).

The method of analysis was used to distinguish significant from insignificant in determining the variability of traditional values and life priorities of students. Each value was analyzed separately within the life values as a system. The analytical method helped to determine the priorities of future teachers to identify the complex phenomenon of such elements that have a decisive impact on all other aspects of the object.

Elementary-theoretical synthesis allowed to single out and combine the factors influencing the formation of life values of future teachers. And consider the causal relationship between them.

The method of comparison is used to compare the life priorities of future teachers to determine the variability of

value orientations.

Empirical methods: questionnaires, pedagogical diagnostics allowed to identify and summarize the priority factors of the variability of life values and values of modern student youth, which are important for the teaching profession.

#### RESULTS

*Presentation of the main material of the study with a full justification of the obtained scientific results.* Human being is essentially continually valuable, and the values of a person closely associated with life's realities are determined by discrete spatial-temporal determinants. So, they depend on the stages of civilization development and social affiliates. Therefore, any value (and the value of a person as well) is actualized on the basis of the emerged human needs. Values can be both the semantic life guides of man, and the real factors that determine and organize the processes of human life.

Value orientations of the person, on the one hand, is a system of control of any human activity, and on the other, it is an internal source of human life goals, and is the determinant of self-determination and self-identification (Vaskivska [2]). And values are "a peculiar summary of internal norms and goals of society and culture ... If value orientations change significantly, the culture changes accordingly and vice versa" (Mihajlovič [13]). According to many people, especially post-Soviet society, moral standards are an expression of ideology. In general, it is quite difficult to outline their clear list: for some, family values are priorities, for others career growth; something that is vital to one person for the other will have a minor significance.

Formation of personality values cannot be separated from education. If values are not formed, education loses its sense. The notion of education without creating values is controversial. Each society aspires to teach people how to live independently, to meet their needs by themselves, to achieve their goals independently, so that it does not interfere with other people, but contributed to coexistence in society. Therefore, in the process of education, a person should develop the qualities that are in line with the basic principles and values of the society in which he lives (Musek [6]). Modern researchers are concerned about the low level of spirituality that prevails among young people, the lack of development of critical thinking, the prevalence of consumer interests, low intellectual level. Spirituality is related to the motivation, goals, attitudes of the person, and morality – with upbringing, behavior, emotional balance, self-improvement and self-education (Palamar [5]).

Values, taking a central position in the structure of the individual, significantly affect the direction of man and the content of its social activity, behavior and actions, its social position and its universal attitude to the world, to themselves and other people. In a word, "the change of the system of values of youth today can be clearly traced in everything" (Prodovich, & Miladinovich [14]) and change the system of values of society itself.

The loss of human sense of life is always a consequence of the destruction and rethinking of the old system of values. To get that sense again, a person needs to create a new system based on universal human experience and using the forms of behavior and activities adopted in society.

Human life has always been and is oriented towards those values that act as a kind of model, which requires concrete actions in a particular situation. In addition, values are the system-forming core of the program of human activity and its internal spiritual life, because the spiritual principles, intentions and moral norms the society traditionally refers not so much to activity, but to values and values orientations. Values are the characteristics of the phenomena of reality (Gusinsky, & Turgannikova [15]).

Today's stage of development of Ukrainian society is characterized by the transformation of socio-political processes and the change of values determined by the search for their own path. These processes, undoubtedly, have made significant adjustments to the formation of value orientations of modern youth, its behavior, and life aspirations. The time

of study at a higher education institution is the period of the personal formation of a young person, the accumulation of not only professional but also life knowledge and skills, the formation of its interests and values orientations, relevant norms and patterns of behavior.

The determining factors of social adaptation of young people are the prevailing social values, the moral and psychological climate in society and the value orientations of the person who adapts. In the process of adaptation, values and value orientations act as the basis of the mechanisms of regulation of social behavior of a person, his self-regulation. It should also be borne in mind that the value structure of the consciousness of young people is in the stage of formation; the variety of processes taking place in society makes a significant impact on it (Ognevyuk [1]).

A separate category is the young people who have chosen the profession of a teacher, because the future of society will depend on the values that these teachers will put in growing younger generations. Modern educator is called not only to transfer specific knowledge to children, but also to introduce them into the socio-cultural space, to draw on the values of culture, to help to know the environment, to comprehend the realities of being. It is known that the system of education as a socio-cultural institute of society contributes to the implementation of any changes in value orientations in it. Thus, the modernization of pedagogical education, in particular the orientation of teachers for the realization of the needs of a society, which is developing dynamically, becomes of special significance. It should be noted that today the educational space is considered as a spatial-temporal field of functioning and development of the education system as an open and active social sphere, in which the ideology of the formation of personality takes into account the conditions of the environment.

The values that a future educator guides in the process of training related to his outlook, with his personal priorities, and reflects the recognition of mastering the profound concepts of time and space as values of vital necessity and the importance of timely formation of them in preschoolers minds. On the way of mastering values there is a holistic spiritual development of personality.

In order to find out the value orientations of students at the Pedagogical Institute of the Borys Grinchenko University of Kyiv in 2017–2018, a study was conducted whose empirical data made it possible to identify the priorities that future educators are guided in during the design process of their own life and proximity path.

Surveys and questionnaires were conducted among students in such areas of training as “Preschool Education”, “Primary Education”.

89% of respondents, answering the questions about the value of life, indicated the need of good health. This is a positive factor in the young people’s awareness of the value of their own health, without which it will be difficult or impossible to build a career, it will be complicated to be useful to society and even create a family.

In general, during the student period, the formation of responsible self-preserving and health-preserving behavior takes place.

Very important in the context of the needs of society in the spiritual and moral growth of its citizens is the fact that 84% of students recognized it important for themselves to “develop spiritually, to enrich their worldview.” Only 37% of respondents said that the values of life for them are also “to become a decent and honest person,” and 42% – to “be useful to society”.

Among the traditional values and life priorities (Fig. 1): love (56%), family creation (96%), gaining an interesting profession (47%).

88% of the respondents are concerned about the material situation, but 24% of the students do not associate the professional perspective with material support.

76% of students seek to realize themselves in working with children.




Figure 1 - The traditional values and life priorities of the respondents

\* Figure developed by the authors

The distribution of answers to the question about the feature of character most appreciated by respondents is given in Fig. 2.




Figure 2 - Distribution of answers to a question about the feature of character most appreciated by respondents is given

\* Figure developed by the authors

76% of respondents do not have a model for imitation either among real people or from literary characters and film heroes, only 5% of students call the model father, 7% – mother, and 4% – both parents.

Only 8% of students indicated that they considered the attractive qualities of different people: both parents and those who succeeded in business. Consequently, a modern student independently builds his own life strategy without relying on the quality of other people.

Fig. 3 shows respondents’ answers to questions about what their parents are today for them.




Figure 3 - Distribution of respondents’ answers to the question of who their parents are today for them

\* Figure developed by the authors

The answers of future teachers to the question “With what purpose should we develop the value attitude to work, to the state and to ourselves?” (Fig. 4) are extremely important.

Family life is the leading life value for many generations of Ukrainians. It is the upbringing of a child in the family that has a significant impact on the formation of value orientations. 67% of respondents consider the family as a place to help solve problems, and 33% of the students in the family are the place where they live and where they perform certain duties. That is, the absence of an emotional

component can be traced.

With regard to their own future family life, 71% of students believe that it is necessary to marry a loved one and live separately from their parents, with 92% saying that they do not need parental consent. It also confirms the independence of the younger generation in the construction of their own lives.




Figure 4 - Distribution of answers of future teachers to the question "For what purpose should we develop a value attitude to work, to the state and to ourselves?"

\* Figure developed by the authors

78% of respondents appreciate friends, first of all, those features that are in line with their ideas and requirements, while they consider it desirable to be interested in problems of a partner, a friend, but this is not obligatory.

The fact that 42% of future teachers recognized the military profession as prestigious is socially important and personally valuable. This is due, first of all, to the fact that in eastern Ukraine there continues an armed aggression unleashed by a neighboring state, and the profession of the defender of the Motherland is very respectable in society.

The profession of a teacher is not on the list of prestigious ones. This can be explained by the fact that in society the prestige of this profession is declarative, and in practice it is completely unprofitable. However, 98% consider the profession of a teacher as the most creative, where it is possible to realize yourself the most.

48% of respondents believe that in order to achieve material well-being in their lives, they should get a good education and become a specialist in their field. 29% of young people say that they need to have a well-paid job, 15% are related to welfare by opening their own business. 8% of respondents said that to achieve the material wealth one should be familiar with influential people.

37% of future teacher noticed that the most suitable strategy for behavior in their real professional activities, they see a job search that will enable the disclosure and implementation of knowledge and skills, and 35% of respondents tend to seek for a job that is both interesting and high-paid, even if for this one have to live some time without work and means of existence.

For the 18%, only the amount of wages is important, and they do not care about the content of the work. 10% are ready to work on any job in order to earn money to open their own business.

Migration settings: explore the world and return – 30%; increase their professional qualification – 45%, quickly accumulate capital and return – 27%, leave forever – 25%.

There are 65% of believers among the respondents.

Future teachers are most related to the Ukrainian nation: history, traditions, customs, culture – 45%, territory of residence – 20%, religion – 17%, language – 15%, the way of living and lifestyle – 3%.

Only 50% admitted that they have deep knowledge of the traditions and customs of their nation, and almost 36% adhere to Ukrainian national customs and traditions only in the case of major religious holidays or significant events.

In general, 41% of respondents are able to organize their own activities to achieve their goals. In particular, for 27% of

future teachers ("Preschool education"), the ability to value time means – to remember the past, to live now, but to be future oriented. Students of this group separately noted that for them the plans for the future are very important: creation of own family, realization in a profession, achievement of certain material abundance, possibility to travel, etc. We can notice that the views of students in this aspect are echoed with the position of modern philosophers and psychologists who believe that the main value of life – only here and now.

The youth notes that in the future, all that is not enough now may appear: money, recognition, useful relations, stability, but then it may not be possible to try yourself in different activities, in a hobby, there will not be so many ideas and aspirations, and especially the inner "light" that inspires to the new achievements and dreams. Students noticed that thoughts about the future are very important for every person, but if you focus only on it, there is a danger of putting off life "for later", and dipping into the past, where sometimes people were better than, perhaps, now, it is some kind the attempts to escape from yourself. At the same time, students emphasized the value of knowledge about the past and about the peculiarities of our society's development, as well as the methods of education and training of children, arguing that one should be proud of the achievements of the past, inspired by the values created by the ancestors, and also the contemporaries need to know about the mistakes and understand them if they do not want to repeat them. Young people appreciate the time, trying to live here and now, build up the space of their lives and protect it, but they are also oriented towards the future.

System of value orientations is the regulator of human activity; it allows correlating individual needs and motives with the conscious and accepted values of the social system, part of which is the person himself. Valuable orientations as the reflection of the priorities of the social environment can affect group norms and values. The problem of values and value orientations is considered in connection with the socialization of the individual, the process of which depends on both external social and internal personal factors. In the process of socialization, a person actively learns the social experience and transforms it into their own values, settings and landmarks.

On the basis of the analysis of empirical data and students' self-assessment, some of the apricots were drawn to their combined portrait. So, the future teacher of a preschool educational institution and teacher of elementary school is:

- an exemplary and faithful family man with a strong health who respects and values his parents as people who love him, but he is worried about his financial situation and believes that in order to achieve prosperity in his life he should get a good education and have an interesting profession, being an expert in his field of knowledge;
- the spiritual development and enrichment of his outlook combines with the feelings of friendship and trust in friends, but only considers the family a place where he will be able to solve difficult life problems;
- as a future teacher, he aspires to creatively realize himself in working with children and does not rely on ready-made patterns of behavior and stereotypes in professional activity;
- having knowledge of the traditions and customs of his nation, identifies himself with it, respects history, culture, place of residence, language and religion;
- worries about the country's future, its independence and peaceful coexistence, he wants to be useful to society, values humanity, sincerity, honesty, openness and decency.

#### CONCLUSIONS

##### Research findings.

The educational process in pedagogical institutes and universities should be aimed at creating a stable value system for students. To do this, at least, it is necessary to study their value priorities and orientations. Strengthening the attention to the formation of students' spiritual and moral values is primarily due to the fact that modern society needs such a



specialist who organically binds his professional activity to spirituality, which manifests itself in love not only for his work, but also in love to a person, to nature, with tolerance to the thoughts of other people, willing to subject interaction.

The obtained results indicate the priority of determining the variability of life values and values of modern student youth, which are important for the teaching profession. They cover social development, relationships between people, professional and family spheres, orderliness of life, health, realization of creative ideas. It is determined that the factors influencing the formation of life values of future teachers and educators are moral and psychological climate, social well-being, civic activity, self-determination, spiritual principles, intentions and moral norms, level of education, etc. The obtained results indicate the need for systematic purposeful work on the development of value orientations of future teachers.

*Prospects for further research in this area.* A promising area of research is the process of formation of the spiritual and moral values of future teachers, as modern society requires a fully developed, highly moral personality, a professional whose professional activity will enable them to establish value orientations in younger generations.

#### REFERENCES:

1. Ogneviuk, V. O. (2003). *Education in the system of values of sustainable human development*. Kyiv: Knowledge of Ukraine, 450 p.
2. Vaskivska, G. O. (2011). *Formation of value-semantic orientations of high school students by means of content of the modern manual. Problems of the modern manual*. Kyiv: Pedagogical Thought, Issue. 11, p. 107–120.
3. Kosyanchuk, S. V. (2014). *Formation of value-semantic orientations of senior pupils in the conditions of person-oriented learning. Updating content, forms and methods of teaching and education in educational institutions / Scientific notes of Rivne State Humanitarian University*. Rivne: RSHU, pub. 8 (51), p. 132–136.
4. Vitvitska, S. S. (2015). *Axiological approach to the education of the personality of the future teacher. Creative pedagogy. Academy of International Cooperation in Creative Pedagogy*. Vinnitsa, pub. 10, p. 63–67.
5. Palamar, S. P. (2017). *The problem of formation of spiritual and moral values of students in the educational environment. Educational Discourse*, no. 3-4 (18-19), p. 221–233.
6. Musek, J. (2008). *Values, culture and education. Culture and Art in Education – 21st Century Traveler: Presentation of Different Views on Artistic and Cultural Education in Education / [Authors of Staša Tome ... [et al.]; edited by Nada Požar Matijašič and Natasa Bucik]*. Ljubljana: Pedagogical Institute, 2008, p. 77–88.
7. Vaskivska, H. (2017). *Didactic aspects of upper secondary and university education fundamentalization. Science and Education, Issue 5*, p. 46–50 (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24195/2414-4665-2017-5-9>).
8. Maslow, A. H. (1970, 1999). *Motivation and Personality*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. N.Y.: Harper & Row, 1970 ; Sankt-Petersburg: Yevrazia, 1999. URL: [http://www.koob.ru/maslow/motivation\\_and\\_personalit](http://www.koob.ru/maslow/motivation_and_personalit)
9. Hjelle, L.; Ziegler, D. (1992). *Personality Theories: Basic Assumptions, Research, and Applications*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. URL: [http://www.koob.ru/hjelle\\_ziegler/teorii\\_lichnost](http://www.koob.ru/hjelle_ziegler/teorii_lichnost)
10. Russell, B. (1996). *Philosophical dictionary of reason, matter, morals / common. Ed. and the afterword by A. Vasilchenko*. Kiev: Port-Royal, p. 368.
11. Goncharenko, S. (2008) *Pedagogical research: methodological advice to young scientists*. Vinnytsia: DŌV "Vinnytsia", 278 p.
12. Vazhynsky, S., Shcherbak, T. (2016) *Methodology and organization of scientific research. Teaching manual*. Sumy: A.S. Makarenko Sumy State Pedagogical University, 260 p.
13. Mihajlovič, S. (2009). *Relationship to management and selected social value orientations in different cultures in Slovenia*. Received on July 11, 2018 from the World Wide Web: <https://philologicalstudies.org/dokumenti/2009/vol2/2/34.pdf>
14. Prodovich Miloikovich, B.; Miladinovich, S. (2015). *The influence of mass media on the value orientation of youth in the Balkans. Statehood, Democratization and Peace Culture, Faculty of Philosophy*, p. 213–227. URL: [https://izdanja.filfak.ni.ac.rs/component/k2/download/1376\\_09dfc49d5a3b0a7e132e4edba0ea2689](https://izdanja.filfak.ni.ac.rs/component/k2/download/1376_09dfc49d5a3b0a7e132e4edba0ea2689)
15. Gusinsky, E. N.; Turgannikova, Yu. I. (2000). *Introduction to the philosophy of education*. Moscow, 28 p.

Received date: 26.12.2020

Revised date: 20.01.2021

Accepted date: 27.02.2021